Toward Enabling Convenient Urban Transit through Mobile Crowdsensing

Garvita Bajaj¹, **Georgios Bouloukakis**², Animesh Pathak², Pushpendra Singh¹, Nikolaos Georgantas², Valérie Issarny²

¹ Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology, New Delhi, India (IIID)
 ² Team MiMove, Inria Paris-Rocquencourt, France

ITSC 2015, Gran Canaria, 15/09/15







Need a convenience model for Metro transit nodes



Inría

Modeling the user's convenience

• A function of:







Seat availability



Representing the Metro network



- Stations as vertices of graph
- Connecting lines as edges
- Edges may belong to different lines
- Lines may be connected at junction stations
- Path P_(o,d)= {L₁,L₂,...L_n} where each leg is the sequence of vertices lying on the same line



Time Inconvenience





Congestion & Seating Inconvenience

- Congestion:
 - personal reaction bubble¹

| O Intimate space | | |
|------------------|-----|-------------------|
| O Personal space | | |
| O Social space | | |
| O Public space | | |
| 8 | | |
| A | ž 🛔 | |
| (| L I | 3 |
| | | |
| | | C by Lizzy Design |

- Seating (I_s):
 - (if any) must be reported directly by the users
- Overall inconvenience (I):

$$I = aI_t + bI_c + cI_s + \eta$$

$$I_c = \sum_{l \in L} \int_{T_l}^{T_l^s} (A_c - N_c) dt$$



Middleware for Mobile Crowdsensing into the Metro

- A middleware for:
 - collect ground truth data required for identifying the constants *a,b,c* and *η* of the convenience model
 - 2. *p*rovide a list of public transit modes that best meet the user specifications
 - 3. collect and provide information through mobile applications
- Efficient interaction by considering three basic constraints:
 - Connectivity
 - Energy efficiency
 - Timeliness (Freshness) of data



Basic Interaction Paradigms

Client-Server - CS (e.g., REST)

Inría



Publish/Subscribe - *PS* (e.g., MQTT)





Mobile System Architecture





Android Application - Metro Cognition¹



- currently acts as a sender
- collects values for constants *a,b,c* and *η* of the convenience model
- collects connectivity tuples every 30 seconds using a background service
- the GoFlow² pub/sub middleware is used for the submission of data



¹ https://play.google.com/apps/testing/edu.sarathi.metroCognition ² https://goflow.ambientic.mobi/

Early Experiments – Convenience Analysis (1)

- Similar experiments into the Metro of Paris and Delhi
 - 24 users participated (12 from each city)
- Two goals:
 - Identifying parameter values (a,b,c and η) for a city-wide convenience model
 - Identifying technique with best accuracy over the collected dataset
- Dataset was heterogeneous one legged, two legged, and three legged journeys:
 - Preprocessing to separate out similar paths

| | One Leg | Two Legs | Three Legs | Total |
|-------|---------|----------|------------|-------|
| Paris | 52 | 37 | 15 | 104 |
| Delhi | 7 | 38 | 53 | 98 |



Early Experiments – Convenience Analysis (2)

Techniques used:

- o Decision trees (DT)
- Multiclass Linear
 Regression
- Support VectorMachines (SVM)
- o Neural Networks (NN)

Results:

| Method | Average Accuracy | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Decision Trees | <75% | |
| Multiclass Linear Regression | ~75-80% | |
| SVM | Overfitted accuracies reported | |
| Neural Networks | ~79-98% | |





Early Experiments – Connectivity Analysis (1)

- Experimental setup:
 - analyzing the user's connectivity pattern (internet connection)
 - each connectivity pattern consists of many tuples for a specific path
 - 2 business districts: La Défense (Paris) and Rajiv Chowk (Delhi)
 - 2 residential districts: Cité Universitaire (Paris) and Govin-dpuri (Delhi)
 - route in Paris: Cité Universitaire → La Défense, and back
 - route in Delhi: Govindpuri \rightarrow Rajiv Chowk, and back
 - routes are classified to 3 categories: Morning, Mid-day, Evening



Early Experiments – Connectivity Analysis (2)



| | Morning | Midday | Evening |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Cité Universitaire - La Défense | 51% | 81% | 83.5% |
| Govind Puri - Rajiv Chowk | 59% | 88% | 76% |
| La Défense - Cité Universitaire | 78% | 81% | 44% |
| Rajiv Chowk - Govind Puri | 82% | 79% | 51% |



Conclusion and future perspective

- Enabling convenient urban transit through Mobile Crowdsensing
 - Introduce our inconvenient model and middleware platform
- We develop convenience models for Delhi and Paris using machine learning techniques
- We identify the ideal interaction paradigm regarding the constraints into the Metro
- Next step
 - use the developed convenience models to provide personalized mobility services
 - utilizing connectivity patterns as a realistic input-parameter to our queueing network





Further information:

Inria MiMove: https://mimove.inria.fr SARATHI: https://mimove.inria.fr/sarathi XSB: http://xsb.inria.fr

